

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	j.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/813,997		03/30/2004	Fusao Ishii	SONY-50T5469.01	6071	
41066	7590	08/03/2006		EXAMINER		
		BITO & HAO, KET STREET, T	KIM, PETER B			
SAN JOSE			MIKD PLOOK	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2851		
				DATE MAILED: 08/03/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			\sim
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/813,997	ISHII, FUSAO	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Peter B. Kim	2851	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence addres	S
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perional Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statue any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. The reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this community ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status .			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19	<u>June 2006</u> .		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ Th	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	•	•	rits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 9-55</u> is/are pending in the a	application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 9-55</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	/		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	·	-, , ,	• •
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-18	52.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received in a	Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	iority documents have bee	n received in this National Stag	je
application from the International Bure	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	st of the certified copies no	t received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗍 Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date	,
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	8) 5) 1 Notice of 6) Other:	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	,

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's arguments filed on June 19, 2006 have been fully considered.

Claim Objections

Claims 1, 20, 37 and 53 are objected to because of the following informalities: "an mask pattern" seems to be a typo. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 17, 18, 20, 21, 26, 27, 35-37, 43, and 51-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hada (6,200,710).

Hada discloses an exposure system, a system for patterning electronic elements on a substrate, and an electronic module (col. 1, lines 5-12) comprising a measurement device (col. 4, lines 7-16) for measuring pattern on an nth layer of the substrate, a computing device (col. 4, line 63 - col. 5, line 5) for calculating a correction between the existing pattern and an expected pattern for the nth layer, an image transformation component (col. 3, line 62 - col. 4, line 20, col. 6, lines 33-47) for performing an image transformation on a pattern for an (n+1)th layer of the substrate, based on the correction, to generate a corrected pattern; and a writing component (col. 3, lines 62-67, col. 6, lines 33-47) for writing the corrected pattern onto (n+1)th layer using a programmable digital mask system (col. 4, lines 40-54). Hada discloses radiation source (charged particle beam). Hada does not explicitly disclose an optical system for guiding

Application/Control Number: 10/813,997 Page 3

Art Unit: 2851

radiation from the radiation source to the mask, and from the mask to the substrate; however, such optical system is inherent to an exposure system for patterning electronic elements.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-7, and 9-55 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-53 of copending Application No. 10/814, 082. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide an actual physical system to perform the methods of claims in 10/814,082.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Response to Arguments

Application/Control Number: 10/813,997

Art Unit: 2851

Applicant's arguments regarding Hada reference have been fully considered but they are not persuasive because it seems that applicant's argument regarding Hada reference seems incomplete (see page 15, the end of the first full paragraph).

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter B. Kim whose telephone number is (571) 272-2120. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM - 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-2258. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/813,997 Page 5

Art Unit: 2851

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Peter B. Kim Primary Examiner Art Unit 2851

July 22, 2006